UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/733,295	12/12/2003	Shinji Ohnishi	03500.017813.	2528
	7590 03/23/200 CELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFEL		LIU, LIN		
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2445	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/733,295	OHNISHI, SHINJI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LIN LIU	2445		

	LIN LIU	2445	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidaviral (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Action on event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slast forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second con	sideration and/or search (see NOTw); er form for appeal by materially red	TE below);	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed the complex of	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 7-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (I13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
L.L.	/Patrice Winder/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2445	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

- 1. After carefully reviewing the Applicant's remarks, the following is a list of Applicant's main concerns on the previous Office Action
- a. On page 8, paragraph 3 of Applicant's remarks, Applicant argues that "The applied art of Comp is not seen to disclose or to suggest the features of Claims 7, 11 and 15".
- b. On page 9 paragraph 2 to page 10 paragraph 1 of Applicant's remarks, Applicant argues that "the claimed invention does not recite determining whether the devices are connected using different protocols, but rather, recites that a determination is made whether the devices are connected via different transmission mediums."
- c. On page 10 paragraph 2 of Applicant's remarks, Applicant argues that Ayyagari fails to teach the second part of the claimed limitation.
- d. On page 10, paragraph 3 of Applicant's remarks, Applicant argues that Rappaport fails to teach "a communication apparatus i) detecting a controlled device among a plurality of devices connected to the network and obtaining an IF' address of the controlled device, and ii) determining that the communication apparatus and the controlled device are connected via a predetermined transmission medium, if a response corresponding to a request, transmitted via the predetermined communication medium, is received from the controlled device, and determining that the communication apparatus and the controlled device are connected via a transmission medium different from the predetermined transmission medium, if no response to the request is received from the controlled device."
- 2. With regard to argument a, the examiner would like to address to the Applicant, that claims 7, 11 and 15 were previously rejected under applied art of Ayyagari in view of Rappaport, not Comp.
- 3. With regard to argument b, the examiner disagrees. Ayyagari specifically discloses that the controlled devices can communicate over different networking protocols, such as BLUETOOTH and UpnP, wherein the BLUETOOTH protocol is over a wireless link transmission medium and the UpnP is over a wired transmission medium. Therefore, the examiner interprets these two transmission mediums as two different transmission mediums as claimed (Ayyagrai: page 4, paragraphs 42 & 46).
- 4. With regard to argument c, the examiner disagrees. First, the examiner would like to address to the Applicant, that the current claim language contains two "if" conditional statements, which presents the claim to only require that one of the conditions is to be met. In the instant case, Ayyagari teaches condition a in page 7, paragraphs 65-66. In addition, for the second part of the claimed limitation (i.e: condition b), Ayyagari also discloses that upon failure to receive a response due to time out from the external device, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to realize that both devices are connected using two different protocols, which utilize different transmission mediums (i.e: wireless and wired connections). (Ayyagari: page 7, paragraphs 66-70).
- 5. With regard to argument d, the examiner disagrees. The secondary reference Rappaport is solely remedied to teach the feature of "displays warning information if the determining unit determines that the communication apparatus and the controlled device are connected via the transmission medium different from the predetermined transmission medium". The above limitations Applicant argues about are taught by Ayyagari.

/Lin Liu/ Examiner, Art Unit 2445